



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,523	02/09/2004	Sam Nemazie	SiliconStor-03US	1041
77541 7590 04/10/2008 Law Offices of Imam and LSI Corporation 111 North Market Street Suite 1010 San Jose, CA 95113				
EXAMINER				
LEE, CHUN KUAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2181				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/10/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,523	NEMAZIE, SAM	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Chun-Kuan Lee	2181	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alford Kindred (SPE).

(2) Chun-Kuan Lee (Examiner).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Maryam Imam (Attorney Reg. 38,190).

(4) Sam Nemazie (Inventor).

**Date of Interview:** 2 April 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's assisted the examiners in gaining a better understand of the claimed invention and also clarified the state of technology pertaining to Serial ATA (SATA) and Parallel ATA (PATA) at the time of the invention, by providing a real world example as to how the claimed invention is implemented (e.g. two hosts are connected to and concurrently accessing a single hard disk utilizing SATA standard). The applicant further clarified that there is little to no backward compatibility between SATA and PATA, as PATA lack the 2nd and 3rd protocol layer of SATA and the driver is different between SATA and PATA; the only compatibility between SATA and PATA is certain basic commands are similar.